1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		FILED Superior Court of California County of Riverside 10/4/2021 D. Brown Electronically Filed	
10	JANE DOE,) Case No. CVR12100419 CVR12100419	
11 12	Plaintiff,) Assigned for All Purposes to:	
13	vs.) Hon. Judge Chad Firetag	
14	CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-) DEFENDANT KATHLEEN DENISE) BINGLEY'S ANSWER TO THE	
15	5DAY SAINTS, STEVEN ROBERT)5FITZGERALD, KATHLEEN DENISE)6BINGLEY, and DOES 1 through 100,)	 UNVERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF 	
16) Complaint Filed: January 28, 2021	
17	Defendants.)	
18 19	Derendants.)	
20	Defendant KATHLEEN DENISE BING	LEY, ("Defendant") hereby answers the	
21	unverified Second Amended Complaint for Dan	nages ("Complaint") filed by Plaintiff JANE	
22	DOE, ("Plaintiff") as follows:		
23	<u>GENERAI</u>	L DENIAL	
24	Under the provisions of § 431.30(d) of the		
25	generally and specifically denies each, every and all of the allegations contained in the		
26	unverified Complaint and each cause of action of	-	
27	that Plaintiff was injured or damaged as alleged, or at all.		
28			
	-:	1-	
	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO THE UNVERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF		

1	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
2	By way of affirmative defenses to the allegations of the First Amended Complaint		
3	("Complaint") herein, Defendant alleges as follows:		
4	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
5	1. Plaintiff's Complaint as a whole, and each purported cause of action alleged		
6	therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Defendant.		
7	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
8	2. To the extent discovery may disclose a basis for such defense, any recovery on		
9	Plaintiff's Complaint, or any purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred by the		
10	applicable statutes of limitation including, but not limited to, Code of Civil Procedure § 337,		
11	338, and 343; and Government Code §§ 12960 and 12965.		
12	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
13	3. To the extent discovery may disclose a basis for such defense, any recovery on		
14	Plaintiff's Complaint, or any purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred under the		
15	equitable doctrines of waiver and estoppel.		
16	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
17	4. To the extent discovery may disclose a basis for such defense, any recovery on		
18	Plaintiff's Complaint, or any purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred in that		
19	Plaintiff's alleged injuries or damages, if any, were not caused by the acts or omissions of		
20	Defendant.		
21	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22	5. Defendant alleges that each cause of action is barred by the independent,		
23	intervening, superseding acts of other parties.		
24	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
25	6. Each and every alleged act of Defendant was not outrageous, intentional or		
26	reckless and Plaintiff has not suffered severe emotional distress, or any at all, as a result of		
27	Defendant's alleged conduct.		
28			
	-2-		
	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO THE UNVERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF		

1	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	7. The allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint, or any purported causes of		
3	action therein, fail to state any cause[s] of action upon which relief can be granted, including		
4	with respect to punitive damages, mental suffering, attorneys' fees or pre-judgment interest.		
5	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
6	8. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff has failed to act reasonably to mitigate the		
7	damages which she alleged in this action.		
8	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
9	9. To the extent discovery may disclose a basis for such defense, any recovery on		
10	Plaintiff's Complaint, or any purported cause of action alleged therein is barred in whole or in		
11	part because the alleged damages were actually or proximately caused by other individuals or		
12	entities for whom Defendant is not legally responsible.		
13	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
14	10. The liability of Defendant for non-economic damages claimed by Plaintiff is		
15	limited by Civil Code § 1431.1 et seq.		
16	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
17	11. Defendant alleges that if this answering Defendant is found responsible in		
18	damages to Plaintiff or some other party, whether as alleged or otherwise, then Defendant is		
19	informed and believes, and on that basis alleges that the liability will be predicated upon the		
20	active conduct of other parties, whether by negligence, in tort or otherwise, which unlawful		
21	conduct proximately caused the alleged incident and that the Complaint against Defendant is		
22	barred by that active and affirmative conduct.		
23	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
24	12. Defendant alleges that all claims against this answering Defendant are		
25	barred, in whole or in part, by estoppel.		
26			
27			
28			
	-3-		
	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO THE UNVERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF		

1	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	13. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's causes of action are barred in that any
3	alleged act or omission was intervened and/or superseded by the acts and omissions of others,
4	named and unnamed, and/or its agents, which were the sole cause of injury, damage or loss,
5	of any, which Defendant expressly denies, to Plaintiff.
6	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
7	14. Defendant alleges that the alleged incident and damages complained of by
8	Plaintiff, if any there actually were, said incident and damages being expressly denied by this
9	answering Defendant, were proximately caused by the negligence of firms, persons,
10	corporations, or entities other than this answering Defendant, and said negligence
11	comparatively reduces the percentage of any fault, if it should be found that this answering
12	Defendant was negligent, which Defendant expressly denies.
13	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
14	15. Defendant alleges that if it should be found that this answering Defendant is
15	in any way liable, which Defendant expressly denies, the liability of this answering
16	Defendant for non-economic damages shall be several only and shall not be joint. This
17	answering Defendant shall be liable for the amount of non-economic damages, allocated to
18	Defendant only in direct proportion to Defendant's percentage of fault.
19	Because the Complaint is couched in conclusory terms, Defendant cannot fully
20	anticipate all defenses that may be applicable to this action. Accordingly, Defendant reserves
21	her right to amend or add additional affirmative defenses that may become known.
22	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for Judgment as follows:
23	1. That Plaintiff take nothing by reason of her Complaint on file herein as against
24	Defendant;
25 26	2. That the Complaint be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice as against
26	Defendant; and
27	//
28	//
	-4-
	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO THE UNVERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF

1	3.	For such other and further r	elief as the	Court may deem just and proper.
2				
3	Dated: October	4, 2021	BOHM, V	WILDISH & MATSEN, LLP
4				
5			BY:	m.m
6				James G. Bohm Attorneys for Defendant
7				Kathleen Denise Bingley
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18 10				
19 20				
20				
21				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
			-5-	
	DEFENDANT'	S ANSWER TO THE UNVERIFI	ED SECON	D AMENDED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF

1	PROOF OF SERVICE Jane Doe v. Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, et al (County of Riverside Superior Court Case No. CVR12100419)			
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE			
3				
4	I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. My business address is 695 Town Center Drive, Suite 700, Costa Mesa, California 92626. On Monday, October 4, 2021, I served the			
5	following document(s):			
6	• DEFENDANT KATHLEEN DENISE BINGLEY'S ANSWER TO THE UNVERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF			
7	I served the above-referenced document(s) on the	following person(s) in the following manner:		
8				
9	Gary A. Dordick, Esq. Golnar Monfared, Esq.	Mark Flores, Esq. Crane Flores, LLP		
10	Cristina Reynosa, Paralegal Dordick Law Corporation	15 West Carrillo Street, Suite 310 Santa Barbara, CA 93101		
11	509 South Beverly Drive	T: 805-564-8181; F: 805-456-4433		
	Beverly Hills, CA 90121 T: 310-551-0949; F: 855-299-4444	mkflores@cflawyers.com		
12	gary@dordicklaw.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
13	<u>Golnar@dordicklaw.com</u> cristina@dordicklaw.com			
14				
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
16	Robert D. Crockett, Esq.			
17	Lisa Dearden Trépanier, Esq. CROCKETT & ASSOCIATES			
18	23929 Valencia Blvd., Suite 303 Valencia, California 91355			
19	Telephone: (323) 487-1101			
	Facsimile: (323) 843-9711 bob@bobcrockettlaw.com			
20	lisatrepanier@bobcrockettlaw.com			
21				
22	Attorneys for Defendant			
23	The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints,			
24	a Utah corporation sole and Corporation of the			
25	President of the San Diego California Stake of the Church of Jesus Christ of latter-Day			
26	Saints, a California corporation			
27				
28	By U.S. Mail: I enclosed this/these document the person(s) at the address(es) above and placed t	(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to he envelope for collection and mailing following		
20				

BOHM WILDISH & MATSEN, LLP ATTORNEYS

PROOF OF SERVICE

our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with our firm's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Costa Mesa, California.

BY OVERNIGHT MAIL: I am readily familiar with the practice of the Bohm Wildish & Matsen, LLP. for the collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery and known that the document(s) described herein will be deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by overnight mail service for overnight delivery.

BY FACSIMILE WHERE INDICATED: The above-referenced document was transmitted by facsimile transmission and the transmission was reported as complete and without error.
 Pursuant to C.R.C. 2009(I), I caused the transmitting facsimile machine to issue properly a transmission report, a copy of which is attached to this Declaration.

BY E-MAIL/ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: On Monday, October 4, 2021, I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted by electronic mail from lli@bohmwildish.com to the e-mail address(es) of the addressee(s) pursuant to Rule 2.251 of the California Rules of Court. The transmission was complete and without error and I did not receive, within reasonable time after transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

- ELECTRONIC SERVICE [*e-Service*] VIA FIRST LEGAL / FILE & SERVEXPRESS / ONELEGAL: On Monday, October 4, 2021, I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be electronically served by submitting the electronic version of the document(s) to FIRST LEGAL / FILE & SERVEXPRESS / ONELEGAL, through their web interface at www.FirstLegal.com / www.secure.fileandservexpress.com / www.OneLegal.com, which caused the documents to be sent by electronic transmission to the addressee(s) listed on the service list. The transmission was reported as complete and without error.
- BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused the above-referenced documents to be personally
 delivered to the addresses listed on the attached Service List by close of business on ______.
- I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above statements are true and correct. Executed on <u>Monday, October 4, 2021</u> at Costa Mesa, California.

1& >	PROOF OF SERVICE	10540.0001
28		
27		
26		
25		
24		
23		
22		
21	Lance Li	
20	K	
17		

MATSEN, LLP ATTORNEYS

BOHM WILDIS

1

2