FILED
Superior Court of Californ
County of Riverside
11/19/2021

11/19/2021 C. Nakagawa

Electronically Filed

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS THE CHURCH

CALIFORNIA STAKE OF THE CHURCH OF

JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS

OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS AND CORPORATION OF THE

PRESIDENT OF THE SAN DIEGO

Complaint Filed: January 28, 2021

Trial Date: None Set

Robert D. Crockett (SBN 105628)

bob@bobcrockettlaw.com

Lisa Dearden Trépanier (SBN 156302)

lisatrepanier@bobcrockettlaw.com

CROCKETT & ASSOCIATES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23929 Valencia Blvd., Suite 303

Valencia, California 91355

Telephone: (323) 487-1101 Facsimile: (323) 843-9711

Attorneys for Defendant

The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints,

a Utah corporation sole and Corporation of the President

of the San Diego California Stake of the Church of

Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, a California corporation

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

JANE DOE. Case No. CVRI2100419

Plaintiff,

i iamum,

v.

THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-17 DAY SAINTS, a Utah corporation;

CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE

SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA STAKE THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY

SAINTS, a California Corporation; STEVEN ROBERT FITZGERALD; KATHLEEN DENISE

BINGLEY, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

22 ||

23

24

25

27

28

Defendants The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints and Corporation of the President of

the San Diego California Stake of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints ("Church

Defendants") answer Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint as follows:

CROCKETT &
ASSOCIATES

Case No. CVRI2100419

1

GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to section 431.30(d) of the California Code of Civil Procedure, Church Defendants deny, generally and specifically, each and every allegation in the Second Amended Complaint. Church Defendants further deny, generally and specifically, that Plaintiff has been injured in any sum therein alleged, and that Plaintiff is entitled to damages or any other relief whatsoever by reason of any act or omission on the part of Church Defendants.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Without waiving or excusing Plaintiff's burden of proof, or admitting that Church Defendants have any burden of proof, Church Defendants hereby assert the following defenses.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Legal Cause)

1. Plaintiff is precluded from recovering from Church Defendants because no conduct of Church Defendants was the legal cause of any alleged damage to Plaintiff.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Acts of Plaintiff)

2. Any alleged injury suffered by Plaintiff is the proximate result of the failure, neglect, omissions, negligence and/or acts of the Plaintiff and on that basis Plaintiff is barred from any claims or relief.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Contributory Negligence/Comparative Fault)

3. Any alleged claims that Plaintiff may have were the result of Plaintiff's own fault, or the fault of third parties who were not under Defendants' control.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(California Constitution, Article 1, Section 4)

4. Some of the actions taken by Church Defendants are actions protected by the California Constitution and, therefore, cannot be the subject of a cause of action.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Supervening and Superseding Cause)

5. The conduct of Plaintiff's stepfather Steven Fitzgerald is a supervening and superseding cause of Plaintiff's damages. The conduct of Plaintiff's mother Kathleen Bingley is a supervening and superseding cause of Plaintiff's damages.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Apportionment of Fault)

6. The injures of the Plaintiff are the proximate result of the acts or omissions of other persons, including Plaintiff's stepfather and Plaintiff's mother.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Offset)

7. Any payment received by Plaintiff for her injuries should offset recovery against Church Defendants.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

8. Plaintiff's claims are barred by section 340.1 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches)

9. Plaintiff's claims are barred by laches.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Waiver)

10. Plaintiff's claims are barred by waiver.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate)

11. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate her damages, if any.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Estoppel)

12. Plaintiff's claims are barred by estoppel.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(First Amendment Privileges)

13. Some of the actions taken by Church Defendants are actions protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and, therefore, cannot be the subject of a cause of action.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Church Defendants pray for relief as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff's Second Cause of Action for Negligent Assumed Duty against Church Defendants be dismissed with prejudice;
 - 2. That Church Defendants recover costs of suit; and
 - 3. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.

Dated: November 19, 2021 CROCKETT & ASSOCIATES

Lisa Dearden Trepanier

Attorneys for Church Defendants

4860-6130-5347, v. 2

PROOF OF SERVICE

On November 19, 2021, I served the following documents described as:

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS AND CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA STAKE OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS

by serving a true copy of the above-described document to:

| Dordick Law Corporation | Gary Dordick, Esq. | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 509 South Beverly Drive | gary@dordicklaw.com | |
| Beverly Hills, CA 90212 | Golnar Monfared, Esq. | |
| 310-551-0949 | golnar@dordicklaw.com | |
| | Cristina Reynosa | |
| Counsel for Plaintiff, Jane Doe | cristina@dordicklaw.com | |
| Mark Flores, Esq. | James G. Bohm | Gary S. Saunders, Esq. |
| Crane Flores, LLP | Bohm Wildish & Matsen, LLP | Saunders Law Group |
| 15 West Carrillo St., Suite 310 | 695 Town Center Dr., Suite 700 | 1891 California Ave., Suite 102 |
| Santa Barbara, CA 93101 | Costa Mesa, CA 92626 | Corona, CA 92881-7259 |
| 805-564-8181 | 714-384-6500 | 951-272-9114 |
| mkflores@cflawyers.com | jbohm@bohmwildish.com | contact@saunderslawoffice.com |
| | Joanne P. Freeman, Esq. | |
| Counsel for Plaintiff, Jane Doe | jfreeman@bohmwildish.com | Counsel for Defendant, Steven |
| | Lindsay Rivara | Robert Fitzgerald |
| | Irivara@bohmwildish.com | |
| | | |
| | Counsel for Defendant, Kathleen | |
| | Denise Bingley | |

by depositing it in sealed envelope in a U.S. Postal Service mail box.
 by depositing it in a sealed envelope in a U.S. Postal Service mail box maintained at the office complex of Crockett & Associates.
 by depositing it in a sealed envelope in a U.S. Postal Service mail box at my residence.
 by handing it to a messenger courier service or registered process server for the delivery of documents by hand in accordance with the instructions provided the messenger courier service or registered process server on the that same day.

| 7845-1180-0031, v. 2 | 50 |
|--|----|
| Executed on Movember 19, 2021, at Valencia, California. | |
| I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of the State of california at whose direction this service was made and declare under penalty of perjury undethe Iaws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. | |
| by depositing it in a sealed envelope and delivering it to an overnight delivery service with instructions to deliver it to the addressee above. | |
| by emailing it to the addressee's listed above pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6 and California Rules of Court, rule $\lambda.251(c)(3)$. | |

4845-1180-031, v. 2