

## SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. M. Youngberg FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, PALM SPRINGS BRANCH

CHRISTOPHER ROSS,

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: PSC 1403729

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO THE HONORABLE KIRA KLATCHKO **DEPARTMENT: PS1** 

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

1	<u>VF-4602, VF-2408.</u> Whistleblower Protection (Labor Code §§ 1102.5, 1102.6)
2	Question 1:
3	Did Christopher Ross have reasonable cause to believe that by notifying his supervisors of
4	discovery of exculpatory evidence related, to, and recommending dismissal of, the Roger
5	Parker case he was disclosing a violation of state or federal law?
6	YesNo
7	If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, proceed to
8	question 9.
9	Question 2:
10	Did the County of Riverside intentionally create or knowingly permit working conditions to
11	exist that were so intolerable that a reasonable person in Christopher Ross's position would
12	have had no reasonable alternative except to resign?
13	YesNo
14	If your answer to question 2 is yes, then proceed to question 3, If your answer to question 2
15	is no, then proceed to question 4.
16	Question No. 3:
17	Did Christopher Ross resign because of these working conditions?
18	
19	If your answer to question 3 is yes, then proceed to question 5. If your answer to question 3
20	is no, then proceed to question 4.
21	Question No. 4:
22	Did the County of Riverside discharge Christopher Ross and/or subject him to other adverse
23	employment actions?
24	Yes No
25	If your answer to question 4 is yes, then proceed to question 5. If your answer to question 4
26	is no, then proceed to question 9.
27	
28	Question No. 5:

1	Was Christopher Ross's disclosure of information, as described in Question 1, a contributing			
2	factor in the County of Riverside's actual or constructive discharge of Christopher Ross			
3	and/or other adverse employment actions against him?			
4	YesNo			
5	If your answer to question 5 is yes, then proceed to question 6. If your answer to question 5			
6	is no, then proceed to question 9.			
7	Question 6:			
8	Was Christopher Ross harmed?			
9	YesNo			
10	If your answer to question 6 is yes, then proceed to question 7. If you answer to question 6 is			
11	no, then proceed to question 9.			
12	Question No.7:			
13	Was the County of Riverside's conduct a substantial factor in causing harm to Christopher			
14	Ross?			
15	YesNo			
16	If your answer to question 7 is yes, then proceed to question 8. If your answer to question 7			
17	is no, then proceed to question 9.			
18	Question No. 8:			
19	Did the County of Riverside prove by clear and convincing evidence that it would have			
20	discharged Christopher Ross or taken other adverse employment actions against him at that			
21	time, for legitimate, independent reasons?			
22   23	YesNo			
24	Proceed to question 9.			
25	,			
26				
27				
28				

1	
2	
3	VF-2540. Disability Discrimination—Disparate Treatment
4	Question 9:
5	Did Christopher Ross have a physical disability that limited his ability to work?
6	Yes No
7	If your answer to question 9 is yes, then answer question 10. If your answered to question 9
8	is no, then proceed to question 16.
9	Question 10:
10	Did the County of Riverside know or perceive that Christopher Ross had a physical disability
11	that limited his ability to work?
12	YesNo
13	If your answer to question 10 is yes, then answer question 11. If your answer to question 10
14	is no, then proceed to question 16.
15	Question 11:
16	Was Christopher Ross able to perform the essential job duties of his position as a Riverside
17	County Deputy District Attorney IV-T, either with or without reasonable accommodation for
18	his physical disability?
19	Yes No
20	If your answer to question 11 is yes, then answer question 12. If your answer to question 11
21	is no, then proceed to question 16.
22	
23	Question 12:
24	Did the County of Riverside actually or constructively discharge Christopher Ross and/or
25	subject him to other adverse employment actions?
26	Yes No
27	If your answer to question 12 is yes, then answer question 13. If your answer to question 12
28	is no, then proceed to question 16.

I	Question 13:
2	Was Christopher Ross's physical disability a substantial motivating reason for the County of
3	Riverside's actual or constructive discharge of Christopher Ross and/or other adverse
4	employment actions against him?
5	YesNo
6	If your answer to question 13 is yes, then answer question 14. If your answer to question 13
7	is no, then proceed to question 16.
8	Question 14:
9	Was Christopher Ross harmed?
10	Yes No
11	If your answer to question 14 is yes, then answer question 15. If your answer to question 14
12	is no, then proceed to question 16.
13	Question 15:
14	Was the County of Riverside's conduct a substantial factor in causing Christopher Ross'
15	harm?
16	YesNo
17	Proceed to question 16.
18	
19	
20	`
21	
22	7
23 24	
25	·
26	`
27	·
28	-

1	
2	VF-2541. Disability DiscriminationReasonable Accommodation
3	Question 16:
4	Did Christopher Ross have a physical disability that limited his ability to work?
5	Yes No
6	If your answer to question 16 is yes, then answer question 17. If your answer to question 16
7	is no, then proceed to question 22.
8	Question 17:
9	Did the County of Riverside know or perceive that Christopher Ross had a physical disability
10	that that limited his ability to work?
11	YesNo
12	If your answer to question 17 is yes, then answer question 18. If your answer to question 17
13	is no, then proceed to question 22.
14	Question 18:
15	Was Christopher Ross able to perform the essential duties of his position as a Riverside
16	County Deputy District Attorney IV-T with reasonable accommodation for his physical
17	disability?
18	YesNo
19	If your answer to question 18 is yes, then answer question 19. If your answer to question 18
20	is no, then proceed to question 22.
21	Question 19:
22	Did the County of Riverside fail to provide reasonable accommodation for Christopher
23	Ross's physical disability?
24	YesNo
25	If your answer to question 19 is yes, then answer question 20. If your answer to question 19
26	is no, then proceed to question 22.
27	Question 20:
28	Was Christopher Ross harmed?

	1						
1	Yes	No					
2	If your answer to question	20 is yes	, then answ	ver question	n 21. If you	r answer to qu	uestion 20
3	is no, then proceed to ques	stion 22.					
4	Question 21:						
5	Was the County of Riversi	ide's failu	ire to provi	de reasona	ble accomm	odation a sul	ostantial
6	factor in causing harm to (						
7	Yes	No					
8	Proceed to question 22.						
9							1
10							
11							
12							
13							
14	,						
15							
16							
17							
18						•	
ľ9						(	
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25						•	
26							
27							
, x	T .						

VF-2546. Failure to Engage in the Interactive Process 1 2 **Question 22:** 3 Did Christopher Ross have a physical disability that limited his ability to work? 4 Yes 5 If your answer to question 22 is yes, then proceed to question 23. If your answer to question 6 22 is no, then proceed to question 29. 7 **Question 23:** 8 Did the County of Riverside know or perceive that Christopher Ross had a physical disability 9 that limited his ability to work? 10 Yes No 11 If your answer to question 23 is yes, then answer question 24. If your answer to question 23 12 is no, proceed to question 29. 13 **Question 24:** 14 Did Christopher Ross request that the County of Riverside make reasonable accommodation 15 for his physical disability so that he would be able to perform the essential job requirements 16 of a Riverside County Deputy District Attorney IV-T? 17 Yes
 ✓ Yes 18 If your answer to question 24 is yes, then answer question 25. If your answer to question 24 19 is no, then proceed to question 29. 20 **Question 25** 21 Was Christopher Ross willing to participate in an interactive process to determine whether 22 reasonable accommodation could be made so that he would be able to perform the essential 23 job requirements of a Riverside County Deputy District Attorney IV-T? 24 Yes 25 If your answer to question 25 is yes, then answer question 26. If your answer to question 25 26 is no, then proceed to question 29. 27 28 **Question 26:** 

1	Did the County of Riverside failed to participate in a timely, good- faith interactive process					
2	with Christopher Ross to determine whether reasonable accommodation could be made?					
3	YesNo					
4	If your answer to question 26 is yes, then answer question 27. If your answer to question 26					
5	is no, then proceed to question 29.					
6	Question 27:					
7	Was Christopher Ross harmed?					
8	Yes No					
9	If your answer to question 27 is yes, then answer question 28. If your answer to question 27					
10	is no, then proceed to question 29.					
11	Question 28:					
12	Was County of Riverside's failure to participate in a good-faith interactive process a					
13	substantial factor in causing harm to Christopher Ross?					
14	Yes No					
15	Proceed to Question 29.					
16						
17						
18						
19						
20 21						
$\begin{bmatrix} 21\\22 \end{bmatrix}$						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

1	<u>Damages</u>				
2	Question 29:				
3	If you answered no to question 8 or answered yes to one or more of questions 15, 21 or 28,				
4	then answer this question 29. If you answered yes to question 8 or left question 8 blank and				
5	did not answer yes to any of questions 15, 21, or 28 (which includes leaving those questions				
6	blank), then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and				
7	date this form.				
8	Q. What are Christopher Ross's damages?				
9	Economic damages:				
10	(a) Past economic loss:				
11	(b) Present cash value of future economic loss \$				
12					
13	Noneconomic damages:				
14	(a) Past noneconomic damages:				
15	\$				
16	(b) Future noneconomic damages:				
17	\$				
18	TOTAL ECONOMIC AND NONECONOMIC DAMAGES				
19	\$				
20	Instructions: STOP. Have the presiding juror sign and date this verdict form and notify the				
21	court attendant/bailiff that you are ready to present your verdict in the courtroom.				
22					
23	Signed: Dated:				
24	Presiding Juror				
25					
26					
27					
28	·				