

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

ANNALAURA HEMBREE,

Defendant and Appellant.

E080128

(Super.Ct.No. FMB22000085)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of San Bernardino County. Kory E.

Mathewson, Judge. Dismissed by opinion.

Jeffrey Manning-Cartwright, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for
Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Annalaura Hembree appeals from an order imposing restitution. We dismiss the appeal as abandoned because appointed counsel identified no arguable issue with the postjudgment order and appellant declined to file a supplemental brief identifying any issue when invited to do so.

BACKGROUND

Hembree pled guilty to one count of first degree burglary (Pen. Code, § 459) and was sentenced to two years' probation with 120 days in county jail. Her conditions of probation included paying restitution to the victim for property damaged in or missing after the burglary.

Hembree filed a notice of appeal from the restitution order. Her counsel filed a brief raising no issues, and we gave her an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, but she declined.

ANALYSIS

A restitution is a postjudgment order, so *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 do not require us to read the entire record to look for arguable grounds for reversal. (*People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 228.) We do have discretion to conduct a *Wende* review even when it is not required, though “[t]he state . . . has an interest in an ‘economical and expeditious resolution’ of an appeal from a decision that is ‘presumptively accurate and just.’ ” (*Delgadillo*, at pp. 229, 232.) We find no case-specific reason to conduct a full independent review in this case and therefore dismiss Hembree’s appeal as abandoned.

DISPOSITION

We dismiss the appeal.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

SLOUGH
J.

We concur:

RAMIREZ
P. J.

CODRINGTON
J.