

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

CARLOS ENRIQUE CASTANEDA,

Defendant and Appellant.

E081333

(Super.Ct.No. FVI22001753)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of San Bernardino County. Charlie Hill, Jr.,
Judge. Appeal dismissed.

Belinda Escobosa, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and
Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Carlos Enrique Castaneda appeals from an order revoking his probation and imposing a previously suspended sentence of four years in state prison. Castaneda’s appointed appellate counsel filed a brief raising no issues and asking that we independently review the record under *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*) and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738. We gave Castaneda the opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, and he did not file a supplemental brief. *Wende* review is not required in an appeal from a postjudgment order in criminal proceedings (*People v. Freeman* (2021) 61 Cal.App.5th 126, 132-133), and we decline to exercise our discretion to conduct an independent review of the entire record. (*People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232.) We therefore dismiss the appeal as abandoned. (*People v. Serrano* (2012) 211 Cal.App.4th 496, 504.)

DISPOSITION

The appeal is dismissed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

MENETREZ
J.

I concur:

CODRINGTON
J.

[*People v. Castaneda*, E081333]

RAMIREZ, P.J.

I respectfully dissent. Our Supreme Court has afforded reviewing courts discretion with respect to the disposition of postconviction appeals in which appointed appellate counsel has filed a no-issues brief and defendant did not file a supplemental brief. (*People v. Delgadillo* (2022) 14 Cal.5th 216, 232.) I would exercise that discretion to conduct an independent review of the record.

RAMIREZ

P. J.